



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,156	03/01/2005	Tsuyoshi Tanikawa	050087	6660
23850	7590	03/07/2006	EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ROST, ANDREW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/526,156		TANIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Andrew J. Rost		3751	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/04/05, 03/01/05</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure contains the following informalities:

Page 6, line 9, replace "vale" with --valve--;

Page 6, line 14, replace "upper and lower casings 7, 8" with --lower and upper casings 7, 8--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugano et al. (JP 08-075017).

Regarding claim 1, Sugano et al. disclose a diaphragm valve having a fluid flow channel (1a, 1b), a valve element holder (10) that operates a valve element (15) in a valve case (1), a lower casing (2) attached to the valve case and an upper casing (5) attached to the lower casing. Sugano et al. disclose a valve stem (3) connected with a piston (7) in the space provided between upper and lower casings. Sugano et al. further disclose an admitting passageway (6) that supplies fluid to either an upper space (space between piston and upper casing) or a lower space (space between piston and

lower casing) and a spring being in the space opposite the space provided with fluid (as shown in drawing 1).

In regards to claim 2, Sugano et al. disclose the piston and valve stem are integral in construction (as shown in drawing 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugano et al. in view of Wells et al. (US 3,958,592).

Sugano et al. disclose the fluid control device having an annular recess on the top wall lower surface of the upper casing (as shown on right side of drawing 1).

Sugano et al. does not disclose an annular recess on the bottom wall upper surface of the lower casing. However, Wells et al. teach a raised portion on the bottom wall upper surface of a lower casing in a pressure operated valve that is spring biased open (as shown in figure 1) with the raised portion defining an annular recess that receives a spring in order to prevent the spring from moving out of axial alignment from the valve stem. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bottom wall upper surface of the lower casing of Sugano et al. by adding the raised portion to define an annular recess as taught by Wells et al. in order to prevent a biasing spring from becoming misaligned.

6. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugano et al. in view of Wells et al. as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Ohmi et al. (US 4,828,219).

In regards to claim 4, the modified Sugano et al. reference discloses the fluid control valve with an inlet in the top wall of the upper casing having an upward connection opening aligned with a downward passage to the upper space (as shown in drawing 1). The modified Sugano et al. reference discloses an air supply port (6) but does not disclose if the port is threaded. However, Ohmi et al. teach the use of a threaded pneumatic port (58) for the purpose of introducing air into a diaphragm valve operator that has a spring bias. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to thread the air supply port of the modified Sugano et al. reference as taught by Ohmi et al. in order to secure an air supply to the upper casing of the diaphragm operator.

In regards to claim 5, the modified Sugano et al. reference discloses the fluid control valve is normal biased open with the spring in the lower space.

In regards to claim 6, the modified Sugano et al. reference discloses the fluid control valve is normal biased closed with the spring in the upper space and the spring in the annular recess in the upper casing and in an annular recess on the top surface of the piston and the piston having a small-diameter portion that fits in a downward passage that communicates to the air supply port with the piston having an air passage that allows air to be transmitted to the lower space (as shown in Sugano et al. drawing 1, right side).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fukano et al. (US 2001/0019116) disclose a fluid-operated valve structure being biased closed by spring that rest in annular recesses in the upper casing and top of the movable piston.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew J. Rost whose telephone number is 571-272-2711. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-Th and 7:30-5 every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Andrew J Rost
Examiner
Art Unit 3751



JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

3/3/06